

**JENNICA WALDRON,**

**V.**

**Defendant.**

$$\begin{array}{c} ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \\ ) \end{array}$$

**CAUSE NO. 1:11-CV-217**

“Obtaining a protective order in an appropriate case need not be a[n] onerous task. But

such an order may not issue absent an appropriate showing of good cause, as well as adherence to the other limitations the Seventh Circuit has emphasized apply to such orders.” *Shepard v. Humke*, IP 01-1103-C-H/K, 2003 WL 1702256, at \*2 (S.D. Ind. Mar. 28, 2003). For these reasons, the Court DENIES approval of Defendant’s proposed protective order (Docket # 19). Of course, the parties may submit a revised protective order that cures the identified deficiency and is consistent with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c)(1) and Seventh Circuit case law.

SO ORDERED.

Enter for this 21st day of May, 2012.

S/ Roger B. Cosbey  
Roger B. Cosbey,  
United States Magistrate Judge